Supreme Court U.S.
FILED
FEB 4 - 2010
OFFICE OF THE CLERK

No. 09-705

IN THE.
SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

FRANCISCO MOLINA JR. IN PRO SE

PETITIONER

vs.

CHEVRON U.S.A. INC., S.W.A.T. ENERGY INC.,
STEVE TANG, EDDY WU, MARIAN TANG
RESPONDENTS

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI
TO THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

REPLY BRIEF FOR THE PETITIONER

FRANCISCO MOLINA JR., IN PRO SE
810 MORRILL STREET
HAYWARD, CALIFORNIA 94541
TELEPHONE 510-481-0146

REPLY BRIEF FOR PETITIONER

Within the adversary judicial system, a REPLY is warranted, else the defendants' BRIEF OF RESPONDENTS IN OPPOSITION FOR WRIT OF CENTIORARI is taken as fact.

Petitioner contents Lack of Standing by Defendants as to Federal Rules of Evidence Rule 806 Attacking and Supporting Credibility of Declarant.

This petitioner asserts Lack of Personal Knowledge per Federal Rule of Evidence 602, as to Lisa C. Chagala given that Counsel of Record Jeffrey L.Adams is not Certified as to the United States Supreme Court, Rule 5.2 Supreme Court and Revised Rule 9.1.

Listed Lisa C. Chagala who may be certified as to United States Supreme Court, however may not testify to a petitioner's documented Fraud Upon The Court presented in petitioner's ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES, unless evidence is introduced sufficient to support a finding that the she has personal knowledge of the facts asserted by the petitioner in his Writ of Certiorari; for which Federal Rules of Evidence Rule 402 Relevant Evidence Generally Admissible; Irrelevant Evidence In Admissible is also applicable.

Attorney of Record Jeffrey L. Adams and attorney Lisa C. Chagala , may not have complied with Rules of Supreme Court of the United States Rule 33.2, in particular, Quote, "The original of any such document (except a motion to dismiss or affirm under Rule 18.6 shall be signed by the party proceeding pro se or by counsel of record who must be a member of the Bar of this Court, or ...", End of Quote.

Furthermore, this petitioner contents that Federal Rule of Evidence 802 (6) is applicable. Rule 802 (6), quote, " **Records of regularly conducted activity.** A memorandum, report, record, or data compliation, in any form, of acts, events, conditions, opinions, or diagnoes, made at or near the time by , for from information transmitted by, person with knowledge, if kept in the course of a regularly conducted business activity, and if it was the regular practice of that business activity to make the memorandum, report, record or data compilation, all as shown by the testimony of the custodian or other qualified witness, or by certification that complies with **Rule 902(11), Rule 902(12)**, or a statute permitting certification, unless the source of information or the method or circumstances of preparation indicate lack of trustworthiness. The term "business" as used in this paragraph includes business, institution, association, profession, occupation, and calling of every kind, whether or not conducted for profit." End of Quote.

CONCLUSION

The contentions by the defendants' BRIEF OF RESPONDENTS IN OPPOSITION TO PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI should not be allowed to stand. This Reply Brief and the documented Fraud Upon the Court at trial court and at Petitioner Petition For Writ of Certiorari, should invalidate respondents opposition to petitioners' Writ of Certiorari.

Dated: February 3,2010

Respectfully submitted,
Francisco Molina PRO SE